Case 2:04-cr-00161-JAM Document 20 Filed 08/02/06 Page 1 of 7

```
DANIEL J. BRODERICK, Bar #89424
 1
    Federal Defender
 2
    801 I Street, 3rd Floor
    Sacramento, California 95814
 3
    Telephone: (916) 498-5700
 4
    Attorney for Defendant
    STEVEN J. NEMEC
 5
 6
 7
                       IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                     FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 8
 9
10
11
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                                     ) No. CR S-03-292-DFL
                                       No. CR S-04-161-DFL
12
                   Plaintiff,
                                       JOINT STIPULATION RE DEFENDANT'S
13
                                       ADMISSION OF VIOLATION OF
         V.
                                       SUPERVISED RELEASE; WAIVER OF
14
    STEVEN J. NEMEC,
                                       RULE 32.1 RIGHTS; REQUEST FOR
                                       IMMEDIATE SENTENCING; WAIVER OF
15
                   Defendant.
                                       APPEARANCE PURSUANT TO FED. R.
                                       CRIM. PROC. 43(c)(1)(B); PROPOSED
16
                                       ORDER
17
18
        Pursuant to the simultaneously-filed Defendant's Admission of
19
    Violation of Supervised Release; Waiver of Rule 32.1 Rights; Request
20
    for Immediate Sentencing; and Waiver of Appearance, the parties,
21
    through their respective attorneys, hereby agree and stipulate that
22
    this Court may accept defendant Steven Nemec's admission to charge one
23
    of the supervised release violation filed on July 14, 2005, as well as
    defendant's waiver of appearance in relation to that admission.
2.4
         The parties further stipulate that Mr. Nemec may be sentenced by
25
26
    this Court without his appearance and without the need for a court
27
    hearing. Both Mr. Nemec and U.S. Probation Officer Teresa Hoffman have
28
    been fully consulted, agree that there is no need for a dispositional
```

1	memorandum in this case, and agree with the parties that the following		
2	sentence is appropriate under both the advisory Sentencing Guidelines		
3	and 18 U.S.C. §3583(e)(3):		
4	1. Mr. Nemec's admission of count one shall be accepted and the		
5	remaining count dismissed;		
6	2. Mr. Nemec's concurrent 3 year terms of supervised release are		
7	hereby revoked;		
8	3. Mr. Nemec shall be sentenced to 15 months in the custody of		
9	the Attorney General, with this term to be served consecutively to any		
10	state sentence he is currently serving;		
11	4. Upon release from federal custody, Mr. Nemec shall serve		
12	concurrent 21 month terms of supervised release;		
13	5. Mr. Nemec shall abide by the special conditions of supervision		
14	and the standard conditions of supervision attached to the proposed		
15	order; and		
16	6. The Court shall recommend service of the custodial part of		
17	this sentence at a facility in Lompoc, California, or if that		
18	institution is not available, at a facility in Northern California.		
19	A proposed order to this effect i	A proposed order to this effect is attached.	
20	Dated: June 13, 2006 Res	pectfully submitted,	
21	/s/	Daniel J. Broderick	
22		IEL J. BRODERICK eral Defender	
23	Att STE	orney for Defendant VEN J. NEMEC	
24			
25	Uni	REGOR W. SCOTT ted States Attorney	
26	/s/	Daniel J. Broderick for	
2728	KYM	BERLY SMITH orneys for Plaintiff	

Case 2:04-cr-00161-JAM Document 20 Filed 08/02/06 Page 3 of 7

1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
2	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
3			
4			
5	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) No. CR S-03-292-DFL;) No. CR S-04-161-DFL		
6	Plaintiff,) ORDER		
7	V.)		
8	STEVEN J. NEMEC,		
9	Defendant.)		
10			
11	Pursuant to the Joint Stipulation and the Defendant's Admission of		
12	Violation of Supervised Release; Waiver of Rule 32.1 Rights; Request		
13	for Immediate Sentencing; and Waiver of Appearance Pursuant to		
14	F.R.Crim.P. 43(c)(1)(B), the Court hereby finds as follows:		
15	1. Mr. Nemec has knowingly, voluntarily, and intelligently		
16	admitted to charge one of the supervised release violation petition in		
17	this case, filed on July 14, 2005, alleging a new law violation:		
18	violation of California Penal Code §472 (forgery of a public seal);		
19	2. Mr. Nemec has knowingly, voluntarily, and intelligently waived		
20	his rights under F.R.Crim.P. 32.1 with respect to this supervised		
21	release violation;		
22	3. Mr. Nemec's concurrent 3 year terms of supervised release are		
23	hereby revoked;		

Chapter 7 of the advisory Sentencing Guidelines. He is also aware of the recommended sentence of the U.S. Probation Office and has no objection to this recommendation.

4. Mr. Nemec is aware of the maximum possible statutory term of

imprisonment upon revocation and the range of imprisonment under

24

25

26

27

28

5. Mr. Nemec has knowingly, voluntarily, and intelligently waived

Case 2:04-cr-00161-JAM Document 20 Filed 08/02/06 Page 4 of 7

his right, pursuant to F.R.Crim.P. 43(c)(1)(B) to be present at all hearings in connection with this supervised release violation, including sentencing; and

6. The sentence recommended by the parties and the probation office is an appropriate resolution of this case and satisfies the dictates of 18 U.S.C. §3553, as well as the advisory Sentencing Guidelines.

In light of these findings, the Court HEREBY ORDERS as follows:

- 1. Defendant Steven Nemec's admission to charge one of the supervised release violation is hereby accepted. The remaining count of alleged violation is hereby dismissed;
- 2. Defendant Nemec's two concurrent 3 year terms of supervised release are revoked;
- 3. Defendant Nemec is hereby committed to the custody of the United States Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for concurrent terms of fifteen (15) months, with these custodial terms to be served consecutively to any state sentence he is currently serving;
- 4. The Court recommends that Defendant Nemec be permitted to serve his federal custodial sentence at a Bureau of Prison facility in Lompoc, California, or if this institution is not available, at a facility in Northern California, if this recommendation meets with the sentencing and security classifications of the Bureau of Prisons;
- 5. Upon release from imprisonment, defendant Nemec shall serve concurrent 21 month terms of supervised release. The defendant must report to the probation office in the district to which he is released within 72 hours of release from the custody of the Bureau of Prisons;
- 6. Defendant Nemec shall abide by the following special conditions of his release:

Case 2:04-cr-00161-JAM Document 20 Filed 08/02/06 Page 5 of 7

- A. He shall submit to the search of his person, property, home, and vehicle by a United States Probation Officer, or any other authorized person under the immediate and personal supervision of the probation officer, based upon reasonable suspicion, without a search warrant. Failure to submit to a search may be grounds for revocation. The defendant shall warn any other residents that the premises may be subject to searches pursuant to this condition.
- B. He shall provide the probation officer with access to any requested financial information.
- C. As directed by the probation officer, the defendant shall participate in a program of mental health treatment (inpatient or outpatient.)
- D. As directed by the probation officer, the defendant shall participate in a co-payment plan for treatment or testing and shall make payment directly to the vendor under contract with the United States Probation Office of up to \$25 per month.
- E. The defendant shall not wear or possess any uniform or clothing, or engage in any activities that may be construed as law enforcement, medical technician, military, or security.
- F. The defendant shall not possess law enforcement related paraphernalia, including but not limited to police scanners, badges, business cards, identification, or equipment which would give the impression of law enforcement involvement/association.
- G. The defendant shall not knowingly associate with others in possession of weapons without the prior approval of the probation officer.
- H. The defendant shall not associate with persons who are in the business of serving warrants or are professional bounty hunters.

Case 2:04-cr-00161-JAM Document 20 Filed 08/02/06 Page 6 of 7

I. The defendant shall not attend any law enforcement related training.

- J. The defendant shall not be present in any court proceeding involving law enforcement to which he is not a party or a subpoenaed witness.
- 7. The defendant must comply with the standard conditions of supervision that have been adopted by this court:
- A. The defendant shall not leave the judicial district without permission of the Court or probation officer.
- B. The defendant shall report to the probation officer and shall submit a truthful and complete written report within the first five days of each month.
- C. The defendant shall answer truthfully all inquiries by the probation officer and follow instructions of the probation officer.
- D. The defendant shall support his or her dependents and meet other family responsibilities.
- E. The defendant shall work regularly at a lawful occupation unless excused by the probation officer for schooling, training or other acceptable reasons.
- F. The defendant shall notify the probation officer ten days prior to any change in residence or employment.
- G. The defendant shall refrain from excessive use of alcohol.
- H. The defendant shall not frequent places where controlled substances are illegally sold, used, distributed, or administered.
- I. The defendant shall not associate with any persons engaged in criminal activity, and shall not associate with any person convicted of a felony unless granted permission to do so by the

The defendant shall permit a probation officer to visit J. him at any time at home or elsewhere and shall permit confiscation of

any contraband observed in plain view by the probation officer.

The defendant shall notify the probation officer within Κ. seventy-two hours of being arrested or questioned by a law enforcement officer.

L. The defendant shall not enter into any agreement to act as an informer or a special agent of a law enforcement agency without the permission of the Court and

Μ. As directed by the probation officer, the defendant shall notify third parties of risks that may be occasioned by the defendant's criminal record or personal history or characteristics, and shall permit the probation officer to make such notifications and to confirm the defendant's compliance with such notification requirement.

DAVID F.

LEVI

United States District Judge

Dated: August 1, 2006

probation officer.

25

26

27